



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,436	04/25/2007	Naomitsu Nishihata	NISH.0006	9872

7590 07/15/2009  
Stanley P. Fisher  
REED SMITH LLP  
Suite 1400  
3110 Fairview Park Drive  
Falls Church, VA 22042

EXAMINER
----------

FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1794

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

07/15/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/589,436	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NISHIHATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Lawrence D. Ferguson	<b>Art Unit</b> 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Joe Miller. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 08 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant inquired if prosecution could be shifted from elected claims 1-18, which were rejected in an office action mailed, April 15, 2009, to non-elected claims 19-20. Examiner indicated because Applicant elected claims 1-18 without traverse on January 23, 2009, prosecution could not be shifted from rejected claims 1-18 to non-elected claims 19-20.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/L. D. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
---------------------------------------	---